

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/018,732	03/08/2002	Hiroshi Kajiyama	3620-4014	5009		
27123 7	1590 09/27/2004	EXAMINER				
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER			BEFUMO, JENNA LEIGH			
	NY 10281-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1771	<del></del>		
			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•				
Office Action Summary			Application No.		Applicant(s)	
			10/018,732		KAJIYAMA ET AL.	K
			Examiner		Art Unit	
			Jenna-Leigh Bef		1771	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appe	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corre period for reply specified above is less than thirty to period for reply is specified above, the maximum so the to reply within the set or extended period for rep reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. us of 37 CFR 1.136 umunication. (30) days, a reply vitatutory period will by will, by statute.	6(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min Il apply and will expire s	ever, may a reply be timinum of thirty (30) days	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this common (35.U.S.C. 6.133).	nunication.
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) fill This action is <b>FINAL</b> . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.	2b)⊠ This a for allowand	action is non-fina ce except for for	mal matters, pro		nerits is
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-75 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 and 1 Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 5-11 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restri	2 <u>-75</u> is/are w				
	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the					
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any obje	: a) accep	oted or b)∐ obje	ected to by the E	xaminer.	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including					1 101/4\
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[] / a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations the attached detailed Office actions.	documents I documents I of the priority nal Bureau (	have been receive have been receive y documents have (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ved. ved in Applicatio ve been received a)).	n No d in this National Sta	age
Attachment	(s)					
) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040	4) 🔲 <u>i</u> r	nterview Summary (I	PTO-413)	
B) 🔀 Inform Paper	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Flation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 4/02, 12/03.	71O-948) PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 N	aper No(s)/Mail Dat lotice of Informal Pa lther:	e tent Application (PTO-15	2)
Patent and Tra	demark Office					

Art Unit: 1771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 5 – 11 in the reply filed on May 7, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that the claims represent a single inventive concept, particularly a polylactic acid composition comprising at least 95% of the L-isomer. This is not found persuasive because not all the independent claims include this limitation and therefore, the groups are not drawn to the particular inventive concept as argued by the applicant.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 1-4 and 12-75 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 5 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. The phrase "a multifilament comprising" in claims 5 11 is indefinite. First, it is unclear if the applicant means to claim a single filament or many filaments grouped together.

  And if the applicant intends to claim many filaments, how are they grouped together? Are the filaments combined together to form a multifilament yarn, a fiber bundle, a fabric, or something else?

Art Unit: 1771

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 5 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 10287735 A (English Translation).

JP 10287735 A discloses a polylactic acid composition polymerized by combining the lactic acid L-isomer and/or D-isomer with a tin octoate catalyst in the amount of 0.0001 – 0.003 mol%, which would be about 1 to 30 ppm (abstract). Further, JP 10287735 A discloses how to produce polylactic acid with a weight average molecular weight of 200,000 or more (paragraph 14). And the invention can be made from an L-lactic acid homopolymer, which would have a 100 mol% of the L-isomer lactic acid (paragraph 18). Further, JP 10287735 A discloses that the polymer can be used to form various materials such as fibers, molded goods, films, nonwoven fabrics, ropes, knits, and textiles (paragraph 22).

JP 10287735 A fails to disclose the relative viscosity, residual monomer content, and the number average molecular weight of the polylactic acid composition produced. It is noted that the relative viscosity will determine how well the polymer will flow through machinery such as extrusion equipment. Thus, a material will need a specific viscosity range to be extruded without being too thick that the polymer blocks up the machinery, and without being too thin that the polymer flows readily through the machinery but forms fibers or films which easily break before the polymer cools into a solid material. Further, the weight average and number average

Art Unit: 1771

molecular weight of the polymer are related to the viscosity of the polymer since these properties measure the size and number of polymer chains in the polymeric material. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to control the number average molecular weight and optimize the claimed relative viscosity in the polylactic acid taught by JP 10287735. A so that the polymer will readily process through extrusion equipment without blocking up machinery or producing extruded products that won't maintain a film or fiber shape before cooling.

Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to remove any residual monomer from the polymerization product to remove any impurities from the finished polymer product which would lower various properties of the finished product, including the melting temperature, tensile properties, and crystallinty.

Finally, the tensile strength, concentration ratio in boiling water, birefringence, and thermal peak stress temperature will be a result of the polymerization process and the polymer chains size and structure. Although the limitations of the tensile strength, concentration ratio in boiling water, birefringence, and thermal peak stress temperature are not explicitly taught by JP 10287735 A, it is reasonable to presume that said limitations would be inherent to the invention. Support for said presumption is found in the use of similar materials (i.e. L-isomer lactic acid monomers, and a tin catalyst) and in the similar production steps (i.e. a ring-opening polymerization process initiated by a tin catalyst) used to produce the polylactic acid. The burden is upon the Applicant to prove otherwise. *In re Fitzgerald*, 205 USPQ 594. Therefore, claims 5 – 8 are rejected.

8. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 10287753 A in view of Matsui et al. (6,174,602).

Art Unit: 1771

The features of JP 10287753 A have been set forth above. JP 10287753 A discloses that the polymer material can be formed into fibers, knitted materials and textiles which would require extruding the polymer material to produce filaments. However, JP 10287753 A fails to disclose how the fibers are extruded. Matsui et al. is drawn to polylactic acid polymers produced into fibers. Matsui et al. discloses that the polymer can be extruded by melt spinning preformed at high speed with a take-up speed of 2,000 - 5,000 m/minute, a drawing step with a draw ratio of about 1.5 to 2.5 and a heat treatment step can be preformed as well (column 9, lines 20-35). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the extrusion process described by Matsui et al. with the polylactic acid polymer of JP 10287735 A since Matsui et al. discloses how to produce filaments from polylactic acid polymers. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the temperature range of the drawing and heat treating steps to produce filaments with a crystal structure that is oriented during the drawing step and then heat treated after the drawing step to permanently set the changes produced during drawing in the finished filament. The temperature range would be chosen based on the melting properties of the polymer such that the temperature will be higher than the glass transition temperature so that the crystalline structure will be more easily oriented and set in a new position without using a temperature that is too high so that the polymer will melt and break the fiber during processing. Thus, claims 9 and 10 are rejected.

9. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 10287735A in view of Matsui et al. and Wellington Sears Handbook of Industrial Textiles (pages 57 – 60).

The features of JP 10287735 A and Matsui et al. have been set forth above. JP 10287735A fails to teach how the polymer is extruded to produce fibers. As set forth above, Matsui et al. discloses how the polymer can be melt spun to form filaments with drawing and

Art Unit: 1771

Page 6

heat setting following the extrusion steps. Wellington Sears Handbook of Industrial Textiles discloses that melt spinning can included a series of heated godets which draw the filaments to increase polymer orientation (page 60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to melt spin the polymer of JP 10287735 A by including a drawing step with heated rollers and a heat treatment step after the drawing step to produce oriented fibers with better strength properties. Therefore, claim 11 is rejected.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenna-Leigh Befumo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1472. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 - 5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jenna-Leigh Befumo September 19, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER